

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/576,042	Applicant(s) CAVANAGH ET AL.	
	Examiner JULIE HA	Art Unit 1654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JULIE HA. (3) ____.

(2) NANCY T. MORRIS. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 6-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed Examiner's amendment to get the claims in condition for allowance was discussed. A telephone call was originally made on August 18, 2008, where the following amendment to the claims were proposed (see enclosed attachment). On September 2, 2008, Ms. Morris called to inform Examiner Ha that the Applicant did not agree to the proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1654	
------------------------------------	--